

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,128	07/14/2003	Mark N. Wain	03797.00611	6895
28319 7590 08/23/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797 1100 13th STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			CHOU, ANDREW Y	
SUITE 1200	EE1, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051		2192		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,128	WAIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Y. Chou	2192				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ine 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	и (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 06/12/2007.

2. Claims 27, 34, and 36-38 were amended.

3. Claims 1-38 are pending.

Response to Arguments

4 Applicant's arguments filed on 06/12/2007 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive.

35 U.S.C 101:

In the Remark's section, pages 10-11, Applicant argues that the now

amended claims 34-37 are directed to statutory material because the claims are

directed to a data structure encoded on a claimed computer-readable medium in

concert with the guidance provided by MPEP 2106.01 (I). Applicant argues that

claim 34 includes functional interrelationships among the first, second, and third

data fields. Examiner disagrees and maintains the 35 U.S.C 101 rejection for

claims 34-37.

Examiner contends that a <u>functional interrelationship</u> between the three

data fields does not exist in claim 34. Claim 34, lines 5-6 recite, "a second data

field that contains a second identifier for a stage that is associated with the first

component...". (Emphasis added)

Mere association does not imply or suggest a functional interrelationship

between the first and second data fields. Thus for at least this reason above,

Art Unit: 2192

claim 34 is non-statutory as being a data structure, non-functional descriptive material.

Thus, claims 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 34-37 are non-statutory because the claimed invention is subject to 35 U.S.C 10.1 as being a data structure, non-functional descriptive material: Data structures claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. See MPEP 2106.01 (II).

#### 35 U.S.C 102:

In the Remark's section, page 12, Applicant argues that the prior art,
Burkett, fails to teach or even suggest the feature of "creating the application
through the user-interface" as included in independent claim 1. Examiner
respectfully disagrees and would like to point to Burkett, FIG. 2, Tasks 34a and
Resources 34b, and FIGs. 3A, 3B, and column 6, lines 18-24, where Burkett
discusses actions in which the console is capable of creating "tasks" i.e.
executable units of work, applications. Thus the reference fairly teaches creating
the application through the user-interface, as recited in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2192

In regards to claim 27, the Examiner respectfully refers to the discussion above for claim 1.

In regards to claim 34, on page 13 of the Remarks section, Applicant amended the claim to include the feature "a first data field that contains a first identifier for a first component, the first component being used to create the application." Applicant then argues that the prior art cited (Burkett, column 6, lines 25-39) "merely manages previously created applications". Examiner would like to again point to Burkett, FIGs. 3A, 3B, and column 6, lines 18-24, where Burkett discusses actions in which the console is capable of creating tasks i.e. applications.

In regards to claim 38, the Examiner respectfully refers to the discussion above for claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,128 Page 5

Art Unit: 2192

6. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(a) as being unpatentable over Burkett et al. US 6,678,889 B1 (hereinafter Burkett).

## Claim 1:

Burkett discloses a method for designing an application, comprising:

(a) receiving metadata and a policy (see for example column 4, lines 25-32,

"Document Type Definitions");

(b) dynamically constructing a user-interface in accordance with the policy (see

for example (see for example column 5, lines 43-54, FIG. 2, item 10,

administrative console, and related text); and

(c) creating the application through the user-interface (FIG. 2, item 34a and 34b,

and FIGs. 3A, 3B, and column 6, lines 18-24,).

#### Claim 2:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the user interface supports a design surface with a toolbox and wherein the toolbox has a plurality of available components (see for example FIG. 2, and related text).

#### Claim 3:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 2, wherein (c) comprises:

(i) creating a representation of the application, the representation having a stage,

the stage having at least one component selected from the plurality of available

components of the toolbox (see for example column 6, lines 1-17).

## Claim 4:

Art Unit: 2192

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 2, wherein (c) comprises: (i) creating a representation of the application, the representation having a stage (see for example column 6, lines 25-39, "four level CML listing").

# Claim 5:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the representation is displayed in a graphical format (see for example FIG. 2; and related text).

# Claim 6:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein (c) comprises:

(i) creating a representation of the application, the representation having a stage, the stage having at least one component (see for example FIG. 2, and related text).

## Claim 7:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein (b) comprises:

(i) categorizing each component to one of a plurality of stages (see for example FIG. 2, and related text).

## Claim 8:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein the stage includes a first component and a second component (see for example column 6, lines 25-39, "...scoping level..."), and wherein (b) comprises:

(i) determining an ordering of the first component and the second component (see for example column 6, lines 40-52, "..hierarchical lists of tasks and reso u rces.").

## Claim 9:

Art Unit: 2192

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein (b) comprises: (i) determining a cardinality of the stage (see for example column 6, lines 40-52, "..hierarchical lists of tasks and resources.").

## Claim 10:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein one of the at least one component is associated with a plurality of properties (see for example column 7, lines 5-30, TABLE 1).

#### Claim 11:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 10, wherein (c) further comprises:

(ii) selecting one of the plurality of properties (see for example column 7, lines 2-4, "..data items that may be declared...").

## Claim 12:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein (b) comprises: (i) discovering the at least one component that resides on a computer, the computer supporting the user-interface (see for example FIG. 2, and related text).

## Claim 13:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein (c) further comprises: (ii) compiling the representation of the application in concert with the policy (see for example column 4, lines 63-67).

## Claim 14:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 13, wherein the representation of the application is expressed as an extensible markup language (XML) file (see for example column 5, lines 45-57, "...XML document...").

Art Unit: 2192

## Claim 15:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 13, wherein (c) further comprises: (iii) in response to (ii), executing a plurality of computer-executable instructions (see for example FIG. 9, step 102, and related text).

#### Claim 16:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 13, wherein (c) further comprises: (iii) determining whether an error exists in the representation (see for example column 8, lines 19-30, FIG. 9, step 108, and related text).

#### Claim 17:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 16, wherein (c) further comprises: (iv) in response to (iii), indicating a determined component and a determined stage corresponding to the error (see for example FIG. 9, step 108, "resources found?", and related text).

#### Claim 18:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein the stage is associated with a plurality of components, and wherein (c) further comprises: (ii) selecting a matched component from the plurality components, the matched component first matching a document being processed (see for example FIG. 2, items 34a, 34b, and related text).

#### Claim 19:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein the stage is associated with a plurality of components, and wherein (c) further comprises: (ii) determining whether the plurality of components shall be sequentially ordered (see for

Art Unit: 2192

example column 5, lines 62-64, "... hierarchical list of administrative tasks...").

## Claim 20:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein (c) comprises:

(i) receiving a command from the user (see for example column 7, lines 38-47,

FIG. 7, and related text):

(ii) in response to (i), indicating whether the command corresponds to a permitted

operation for manipulating a representation of the application (see for example

FIG. 7, and related text).

## Claim 21:

Burkett further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein (a) comprises: (i) selecting the policy from a plurality of policies (see for example column 5, lines 43-54).

## Claim 22:

Claim 22 is a physical computer-readablemedium version of the claimed method step discussed in claim 1 above. Thus, accordingly, this claim would also be anticipated by Burkett.

## Claim 23:

Claim 23 is a physical computer-readable medium version of the claimed method step discussed in claim 3 above. Thus, accordingly, this claim would also be anticipated by Burkett.

# Claim 24:

Art Unit: 2192

Claim 24 is a physical computer-readable medium version of the claimed method step discussed in claim 12 above. Thus, accordingly, this claim would also be anticipated by Burkett.

# Claim 25:

Claim 25 is a physical computer-readable medium version of the claimed method step discussed in claim 18 above. Thus, accordingly, this claim would also be anticipated by Burkett.

## Claim 26:

Claim 26 is a physical computer-readable medium version of the claimed method step discussed in claim 19 above. Thus, accordingly, this claim would also be anticipated by Burkett.

## Claim 27:

Burkett discloses a system for designing an application, comprising:

a policy module that stores metadata, the metadata representing a set of rules
that is associated with the application (see for example Fig. 2, item 10, "console",
and related text);

a user-interface module that generates a design surface, the design surface specifying the application to create the application (see for example FIGs. 3A, 3B, and column 6, lines 18-24, and related text);

a composition logic module that receives the metadata from the policy module (see for example FIGs. 3A-3B, and related text) and that restrains the design surface to be consistent with the metadata when displaying a representation of

Art Unit: 2192

the application through the user-interface module (see for example FIG. 2, and related text);

and an input module that receives a command from a user to manipulate the design surface and that updates the design surface, through the composition logic module, in accordance with the command (see for example FIG. 16, item 204, and related text).

## Claim 28:

Burkett further discloses the system of claim 27, wherein the user-interface module comprises a display interface to a video display device, the video display device showing the design surface to the user (see for example FIG. 16, item 203, Display Device, and related text).

## Claim 29:

Burkett further discloses the system of claim 27, further comprising: a compiler module that is coupled to the policy module and that transforms the representation into a set of computer-executable instructions, the set of computer-executable instructions being consistent with the metadata contained in the policy module (see for example column 4, lines 64-67, FIG. 16, item 200, Processor, and related text).

## Claim 30:

Burkett further discloses the system of claim 29, further comprising: an execution engine that executes the set of computer-executable instructions (see for example FIG. 16, item 200, Processor, and related text).

## Claim 31:

Art Unit: 2192

Burkett further discloses the system of claim 27, further comprising: a memory that stores software (see for example FIG. 16, item 200, and related text), the software supporting a component, wherein the composition logic module discovers the component and provides a display indicator that is associated with the component (see for example FIG. 16, item 202, Application, and related text).

## Clam 32:

Burkett further discloses the system of claim 27, wherein the policy module is colocated with the user-interface module (see for example FIG. 2, and related text).

## Claim 33:

Burkett further discloses the system of claim 27, wherein the policy module is remotely located from the user-interface module (see for example FIG. 2, and related text).

## Claim 34:

Burkett discloses a physical computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure, the data structure specifying an application, comprising:

- (a) a first data field that contains a first identifier for a first component, the first component being used to create the application (see for example column 6, lines 25-39, "...four-level CML listing...");
- (b) a second data field that contains a second identifier for a stage that is associated with the first component (see for example column 6, lines 25-39, "...four-level CML listing...")', and

Art Unit: 2192

(c) a third data field that represents at least one property that is associated with the first component (see for example column 6, lines 25-39, "... four-level CML listing...").

## Claim 35:.

Burkett further discloses the physical computer-readable medium of claim 34, further comprising:

(d) a fourth data field that contains another identifier for another component that is capable of being coupled to the first component. (see for example column 6, lines 25-39, "...four-level CML listing...").

## Claim 36:

Burkett discloses a physical computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure, the data structure specifying an application, comprising:

- (a) a first data field that contains a first identifier of a first stage for a userinterface (see for example FIG. 7, and related text);
- (b) a second data field that contains a first indicator that indicates a first position of the first stage within a design surface, the design surface specifying a creation of the application (see for example FIG. 7, and related text);
- (c) a third data field that contains another identifier of another stage for the user-interface (see for example FIG. 7, and related text); and
- (d) a fourth data field that contains another indicator that indicates a second position of the other stage within the design surface (see for example Fig. 7, and related text).

#### Claim 37:

Application/Control Number: 10/619,128 Page 14

Art Unit: 2192

Burkett further discloses the physical computer-readable medium of claim 36, further comprising:

(e) a fifth data field that contains an processing indicator that indicates an ordering of a plurality of components that are associated with the first stage (see" for example FIG. 7, and related text).

## Claim 38:

Burkett discloses a method for designing an application, comprising:

- (a) receiving metadata that is contained in a policy (see for example column 4, lines 25-32, "Document Type Definitions");
- (b) dynamically constructing a user-interface in accordance with the policy, the user-interface supporting a design surface for a creation of the application and a toolbox with a plurality of available components(see for example column 5, lines 43-54, FIG. 2, item 10, administrative console, FIGs. 3A, 3B, and column 6, lines 18-24, and related text);
- (c) creating a representation of the application, the representation having at least one stage, each stage having at least one component selected from the plurality of available components by a user (see for example column 5, lines 43-54);
- (d) compiling the representation of the application in concert with the policy (see for example column 4, lines 64-67, FIG. 16, item 200, Processor, and related text); and
- (e) in response to (d), executing a set of computer-executable instructions (see for example FIG. 16, and related text).

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed tot eh TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272 2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-pair-patengements.com/http://pair-pair-patengements.com/http://pair-pair-patengements.com/http://pair-pair-patengements.com/http://pair-pair-patengements.com/http://http://http://pair-patengements.com/http://ht

Art Unit: 2192

<u>direct.uspto.gov</u>. Should you have questions on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBS) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

AYC

TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER